

Pedagogical Approaches in Islamic and General Education: Similarities and Contrasts

Md. Mahbubur Rahman

PhD Researcher, Department of Islamic Studies, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

ARTICLE INFORMATION

The Faculty Journal of Arts
Rajshahi University
Special Volume-7
ISSN: 1813-0402 (Print)

Received : 24 February 2025
Received in revised: 13 April 2025
Accepted: 16 March 2025
Published: 25 October 2025

Keywords:
Islamic Education; General Education;
Pedagogy; Moral Development;
Constructivism; Curriculum; Comparative
Education

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the pedagogical approaches in Islamic and General Education, with a particular focus on their similarities and contrasts. Islamic education, historically rooted in the Qur'anic schools (Maktab), Madrasah traditions, and the Halaqa system, emphasizes the integration of spiritual, moral, and intellectual development. In contrast, General Education, shaped largely by Western philosophical traditions such as humanism, rationalism, and progressivism, prioritizes scientific inquiry, critical thinking, and skill acquisition. The study highlights how pedagogical methods in Islamic education, such as memorization, recitation, dialogical learning, and moral instruction, differ significantly from the learner-centered and constructivist approaches prevalent in modern General Education. However, both systems share the goal of transmitting knowledge, developing character, and equipping students for life in society. By critically analyzing their philosophical underpinnings, curriculum orientations, and teacher-student dynamics, this paper reveals the challenges of integration and the potential for cross-learning between the two models. The findings suggest that while Islamic education offers a holistic framework grounded in spirituality and morality, General Education provides pragmatic strategies for fostering innovation and adaptability. The conclusion advocates for a complementary approach that leverages the strengths of both traditions to address the educational needs of the contemporary world.

Introduction

Education has always been regarded as one of the most significant instruments for shaping human society. How education is conceptualized, delivered, and internalized determines not only the intellectual but also the moral and spiritual trajectory of individuals and communities. Within the global discourse on education, two broad traditions stand out: Islamic Education and General (or Secular) Education. Both systems are deeply rooted in distinct epistemological and philosophical foundations, and both have evolved to address the needs of their respective societies. Yet, the increasing interconnectedness of the modern world compels scholars to revisit these traditions comparatively, to identify both shared values and contrasting methodologies. Islamic education has its origins in the revelation of the Qur'an and the Prophetic tradition (Sunnah), which together provide a comprehensive framework for human development. From the earliest Maktab (Qur'anic schools) to the flourishing madrasah institutions of the medieval Islamic world, education in Islam has never been restricted to the mere transmission of factual knowledge. Rather, it seeks to cultivate a balanced personality, one that harmonizes intellectual capacity with ethical consciousness and spiritual devotion.¹ The ultimate purpose of Islamic pedagogy, therefore, is the formation of an individual who is both knowledgeable and righteous ('Alim and Muttaqi). Pedagogical methods such as memorization, recitation, teacher-centered guidance, and moral exemplification have been hallmarks of this system for centuries. General education, on the other hand, has historically been shaped by philosophical movements emerging from ancient Greece, the European Renaissance, and later the Enlightenment. Thinkers such as John Locke, Jean-Jacques Rousseau, and John Dewey emphasized rational inquiry, critical thinking, and learner-centered approaches.² In this tradition, knowledge is often perceived as a

human construct that can be questioned, expanded, and reshaped through experience and experimentation. Unlike Islamic education, where knowledge is primarily viewed as divine trust (Amanah), general education tends to emphasize intellectual autonomy and scientific progress.³ Its pedagogical approaches reflect constructivist, behaviorist, and progressive models, all of which prioritize the cognitive and practical development of learners to prepare them for modern economic and social challenges. The need for a comparative analysis of these two systems arises from several pressing realities. First, Islamic education continues to play a crucial role in shaping the worldview of nearly two billion Muslims worldwide. At the same time, General Education remains the dominant system in most countries, including those with large Muslim populations. Second, globalization and technological advancement are creating new demands for educational integration, where spiritual and ethical dimensions must engage with scientific and pragmatic skills. Third, both systems face criticisms: Islamic education is sometimes perceived as overly traditional or resistant to modernity, while General Education is often accused of producing morally disengaged individuals despite their academic competence.⁴

This paper argues that while Islamic and General Education differ substantially in their philosophical foundations, pedagogical strategies, and curricular orientations, they also share important similarities that could foster a meaningful dialogue between them. Islamic education emphasizes the inseparability of knowledge and morality, whereas General Education emphasizes intellectual autonomy and innovation. Yet both traditions, at their core, seek to develop the human potential to its fullest extent. The comparative study of their pedagogical approaches can thus illuminate possibilities for creating educational models that are both ethically grounded and intellectually dynamic.

The scope of this paper will cover the following dimensions:

1. A historical overview of both traditions and their foundational philosophies.
2. A discussion of pedagogical practices in Islamic education, including memorization, recitation, and dialogical methods.
3. An exploration of pedagogical practices in General Education, such as constructivism, experiential learning, and student-centered instruction.
4. A comparative analysis of similarities and differences in teacher-student relationships, curriculum, and assessment methods.
5. An examination of the challenges and opportunities for integrating the strengths of both traditions in contemporary educational frameworks.

By situating Islamic and General Education within a comparative framework, this paper seeks to contribute to the broader field of comparative pedagogy. It will highlight how different conceptions of knowledge shape teaching and learning practices and how these practices, in turn, influence the development of individuals and societies. The study ultimately calls for a balanced approach that honors the moral and spiritual depth of Islamic pedagogy while embracing the creativity and adaptability fostered by General Education.

Historical Background of Islamic and General Education

Islamic Education: Origins and Development

The foundation of Islamic education rests upon the Qur'an and the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), which Muslims regard not only as sources of religious law but also as comprehensive guides to human life, ethics, and knowledge. The Prophet emphasized knowledge by declaring: "Seeking knowledge is obligatory upon every Muslim, male and female."⁵ This prophetic statement established education as a communal as well as individual duty in Islamic civilization.

The earliest centers of learning were the Kuttab or Maktab, small Qur'anic schools where children learned recitation, memorization, and rudimentary literacy. With the expansion of the Islamic empire during the Umayyad and Abbasid caliphates, education grew into a more structured and institutionalized form. The madrasah system, which flourished in the 10th and 11th centuries, particularly under the Seljuqs, represented one of the most significant contributions of Muslim civilization to the global history of education.⁶

Madrasahs offered instruction not only in Qur'anic exegesis, Hadith studies, and Islamic jurisprudence (Fiqh), but also in mathematics, astronomy, medicine, philosophy, and literature.⁷ Scholars such as Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina (Avicenna), and Ibn Rushd (Averroes) engaged with Greek philosophy while remaining rooted in an Islamic worldview. Educational theory was enriched by thinkers like Al-Ghazali, who argued that the ultimate goal of knowledge was the cultivation of the soul and preparation for the afterlife, and Ibn Khaldun, who viewed education as a social process requiring a balance between memorization and rational understanding.⁸

In medieval times, Islamic centers of learning such as Baghdad's Bayt al-Hikmah, Al-Azhar University in Cairo, and the madrasahs of Andalusia became global hubs of intellectual activity. They produced advancements in science, law, medicine, and philosophy that influenced the European Renaissance.⁹

However, with the decline of Muslim political power and the rise of colonialism, the traditional system of Islamic education suffered significant setbacks. European colonial administrations often imposed Western-style education systems, relegating madrasahs to the margins. This created a dual system of education in many Muslim societies: religious institutions focusing narrowly on theology and ritual, and secular schools emphasizing modern sciences and professional skills.¹⁰ This duality continues to shape educational debates in Muslim-majority societies today, raising questions about relevance, modernization, and identity.

General Education: Western Origins and Evolution

Unlike Islamic education, General Education developed primarily within the Greco-Roman intellectual tradition. Ancient Greek philosophers Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle laid the foundations of systematic reasoning, logic, and pedagogy.¹¹ Plato's Republic envisioned education as the process of shaping philosopher-kings, while Aristotle emphasized empirical observation and practical knowledge. The Romans later contributed to this legacy by developing rhetoric, law, and civic education, which sought to prepare citizens for participation in public life. During the medieval period, the Christian Church became the dominant educational authority in Europe. Monastic schools and cathedral schools preserved classical knowledge, but education was largely theological and dogmatic. Scholasticism, represented by figures like Thomas Aquinas, attempted to reconcile faith with reason.¹²

The Renaissance (14th–17th centuries) revolutionized education by reviving classical humanism. Education shifted towards literature, arts, and scientific inquiry. Thinkers emphasized the dignity of human beings and the importance of critical study of nature and texts. The invention of the printing press in the 15th century further democratized knowledge and expanded access to education.

The Enlightenment (18th century) marked a turning point in the development of modern General Education. Philosophers such as John Locke argued for experiential learning and the idea that children's minds were like a "blank slate" (Tabula rasa).¹³ Jean-Jacques Rousseau, in *Emile*, promoted the concept of natural education, where the child should learn through direct experience and interaction with the environment rather than rote memorization. Later, John Dewey in the 20th century advanced the notion of progressive education, which emphasized problem-solving, democratic participation, and learning by doing.¹⁴

In the modern period, General Education became increasingly standardized, secularized, and professionalized. It is now largely organized by state institutions, with national curricula, systematic assessment methods, and accreditation systems. The spread of mass schooling during the Industrial Revolution sought to produce literate, disciplined, and skilled citizens for the workforce. In the 21st century, General Education is characterized by globalization, digital learning, STEM-based curricula, and competency-based assessment.

While General Education has contributed to scientific advancement, economic development, and social mobility, critics argue that its overwhelming focus on material and vocational success often neglects moral, ethical, and spiritual dimensions of learning. This has led to debates about how to integrate "values education" into secular systems without reverting to religious dogmatism.¹⁵

Comparative Reflection

When comparing the historical development of Islamic and General Education, several contrasts emerge. Islamic education is rooted in the conviction that knowledge is divinely revealed and intrinsically tied to moral and spiritual growth. General Education, on the other hand, is built upon the idea that knowledge is a human construction, subject to inquiry, revision, and reinterpretation.

Yet, there are also commonalities. Both traditions regard education as a means of cultivating human potential, preserving cultural heritage, and preparing individuals for participation in society. Both have undergone significant transformations over time: Islamic education through colonial encounters and internal reforms, and General Education through the Renaissance, Enlightenment, and modern secularization.

This comparative lens allows us to see that the tension between faith and reason, tradition and modernity, moral values and technical skills, is not unique to one tradition but a universal challenge in the philosophy of education.

Pedagogical Approaches in Islamic Education

The Spiritual Foundation of Pedagogy

Islamic pedagogy is fundamentally rooted in the Qur'an and Sunnah, where education is not merely an intellectual pursuit but also a moral and spiritual process. The Qur'an often invites human beings to reflect (Tadabbur), contemplate ('Aql), and observe (Nazr) the signs of Allah in nature and revelation.¹⁶ Thus, learning in Islam is deeply connected to worship ('Ibadah), ethical conduct (Akhlaq), and preparation for the hereafter (Akhirah). The ultimate goal of pedagogy is Tarbiyah, the holistic nurturing of human beings in their physical, intellectual, and spiritual dimensions.¹⁷

Early Pedagogical Models: Kuttab and Madrasah

The earliest form of Islamic pedagogy can be traced back to the Kuttab (Maktab), where children were taught Qur'anic recitation, memorization, writing skills, and moral discipline. Instruction here was highly oral and repetitive, emphasizing memorization (Hifz) as the primary mode of learning.¹⁸ However, this was not rote memorization in isolation; it was accompanied by Tazkiyah (purification of the soul) and training in Adab (proper manners).

With the institutionalization of the madrasah system, pedagogy became more structured. Teachers (Mudarris) used methods such as lectures (Imla'), commentaries (Sharh), and disputation (Munazarah) to engage students in critical thinking.¹⁹ The chain of transmission (Isnad) was considered crucial for authenticity, linking every student back to the Prophet through teachers and scholars. This ensured not only accuracy in knowledge but also spiritual legitimacy.

Teacher-Student Relationship

The pedagogy of Islamic education places great emphasis on the teacher-student relationship. Teachers were regarded as spiritual guides (Murabbi) and moral exemplars, not merely conveyors of information. Students were expected to demonstrate humility, respect, and obedience, while teachers had the duty to act with sincerity, patience, and compassion.²⁰ As Al-Ghazali emphasized, education was a process of transforming character, where teachers acted as "doctors of the soul."²¹

Methods of Instruction

Islamic pedagogy historically utilized diverse methods, including: Hifz (Memorization): The memorization of Qur'an and Hadith to preserve sacred texts accurately. Tafsir and Sharh (Explanation): Detailed commentary on texts to enhance comprehension. Munazara (Debate): A dialectical method encouraging reasoning, logic, and defense of arguments. Ijazah System: Granting certification from teacher to student upon mastery of a subject, legitimizing transmission of knowledge. Practical Apprenticeship: Especially in medicine, astronomy, calligraphy, and jurisprudence, students learned under direct mentorship.

These methods ensured that learning combined both cognitive development and ethical formation, creating scholars who were not only knowledgeable but also socially and morally responsible.

Integration of ‘Aql (Reason) and Naql (Revelation)

A distinctive feature of Islamic pedagogy is the integration of Naql (revealed knowledge) and ‘Aql (rational knowledge). Thinkers like Ibn Rushd (Averroes) argued that rational inquiry is not opposed to revelation but a means of understanding divine truth.²² On the other hand, Al-Ghazali warned against excessive rationalism devoid of spirituality. This balance between faith and reason shaped a pedagogical philosophy that encouraged both textual fidelity and rational engagement.

Pedagogy and Moral Development

Unlike modern secular education, which often separates academic knowledge from ethics, Islamic pedagogy insists that education without moral training is incomplete. The concept of Adab (right conduct) was central to learning. As articulated by Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, Adab is the discipline that places everything in its proper place: knowledge, action, and morality.²³ Thus, pedagogy aimed at producing not merely scholars (‘Ulama) but also righteous individuals (‘Abid), who embody both wisdom and piety.

Modern Reinterpretations

In contemporary times, Islamic pedagogy faces challenges in balancing tradition with modernity. Some argue that traditional methods of rote learning and memorization must be updated with critical thinking, research skills, and scientific inquiry, while others stress the need to preserve the spiritual and moral essence of Islamic education. Current reforms attempt to integrate modern pedagogical approaches, such as project-based learning, blended learning, and inquiry-based instruction, into madrasahs and Islamic schools without compromising their religious identity.²⁴

Pedagogical Approaches in General Education**Philosophical Foundations of General Education**

General education, often termed secular or Western education, is historically grounded in humanism, rationalism, and progressivism. Its primary aim is to cultivate intellectual autonomy, critical reasoning, and practical skills, enabling individuals to navigate complex social, technological, and professional environments.²⁵ Unlike Islamic education, where knowledge is divinely sanctioned, General Education regards knowledge as a product of human inquiry, evolving through observation, experimentation, and reflection.²⁶

Teacher-Centered and Student-Centered Approaches

Historically, Western education employed teacher-centered methods, with emphasis on lectures, rote learning, and recitation, especially in medieval universities.²⁷ However, with the Enlightenment and the rise of thinkers like Rousseau and Dewey, there was a paradigm shift toward student-centered pedagogy.²⁸ The learner became an active participant, and education focused on nurturing critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving abilities. Modern pedagogy emphasizes constructivist approaches, where students construct knowledge through interaction, collaboration, and experiential learning.²⁹

Methods of Instruction

The instructional methods in General Education are diverse and adaptable:

Lectures and Seminars: Common in higher education, focusing on knowledge transmission and discussion. Experiential Learning: Learning through hands-on activities, projects, and simulations. Problem-Based Learning: Students tackle real-world problems, developing critical thinking and analytical skills. Collaborative Learning: Group work encourages teamwork, communication, and peer learning. Technology-Enhanced Learning: Use of multimedia, digital platforms, and online resources to facilitate learning.

These methods reflect the philosophy that education should not merely impart information but foster analytical thinking, innovation, and adaptability.

Curriculum and Assessment

Curriculum design in General Education typically follows a discipline-based structure, with clear learning outcomes and assessment criteria.³⁰ Subjects are often divided into sciences, humanities, arts, and vocational studies, emphasizing both knowledge acquisition and skill development. Assessment methods include written exams, assignments, projects, presentations, and practical demonstrations, designed to measure cognitive understanding, application, and creativity. Unlike Islamic education, ethical and spiritual development is generally considered separate from academic curriculum, though some modern schools have incorporated “values education” programs.³¹

Teacher-Student Relationship

The teacher-student relationship in General Education is often more egalitarian, emphasizing dialogue, mentorship, and facilitation rather than strict hierarchical authority. Teachers guide, encourage inquiry, and provide feedback rather than solely transmitting knowledge. While respect for teachers is expected, the focus is on developing autonomous learners capable of independent judgment.³²

Integration of Theory and Practice

General Education strongly emphasizes linking theoretical knowledge with practical application. Subjects like science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) prioritize experimentation, observation, and real-world problem solving. Humanities and social sciences encourage critical reflection, debate, and evaluation of multiple perspectives. This holistic approach equips students with the skills, knowledge, and adaptability necessary to function effectively in a rapidly changing world.³³

Contemporary Trends and Innovations

Modern General Education increasingly integrates technology, interdisciplinary studies, and global perspectives. Online learning platforms, blended learning, and competency-based education are expanding access and flexibility. Additionally, contemporary pedagogy places emphasis on emotional intelligence, social responsibility, and lifelong learning, attempting to address some of the ethical and moral gaps that critics associate with secular education.³⁴

Similarities between Islamic and General Education

Although Islamic and General Education stem from distinct philosophical and cultural foundations, a closer examination reveals notable similarities in their educational philosophy, objectives, and methodologies. These shared features highlight the universal aspects of education as a human endeavor.

1. Holistic Development of the Learner

Both Islamic and General Education prioritize the holistic development of students' intellectual, emotional, social, and moral. In Islamic education, this holistic approach is expressed through Tarbiyah, which integrates cognitive skills with ethical and spiritual growth.³⁵ Students are encouraged not only to acquire knowledge but also to cultivate virtues such as honesty, patience, humility, and justice.³⁶ Similarly, General Education emphasizes not only cognitive development but also social-emotional learning, ethical reasoning, and interpersonal skills. Progressive educational models, such as those inspired by John Dewey, stress learning by doing, collaboration, and real-world problem-solving, ensuring that education contributes to the overall formation of capable and responsible individuals.³⁷

2. Teacher as a Central Figure

In both traditions, the teacher plays a pivotal role in shaping the learner's intellectual and moral development. In Islamic pedagogy, the teacher is not merely an information provider but also a moral exemplar and spiritual guide (Murabbi).³⁸ Students are expected to respect and follow the teacher's guidance, while the teacher bears responsibility for the students' intellectual and ethical growth. In General Education, although the teacher-student hierarchy is often less formal, teachers serve as mentors, facilitators, and role models who guide students through critical inquiry and reflective learning.³⁹ Both systems acknowledge that effective education is contingent upon the quality of the teacher-student relationship, emphasizing trust, guidance, and mutual respect.⁴⁰

3. Structured Learning and Progression

Both Islamic and General Education utilize structured curricula that progress from foundational knowledge to more complex levels of understanding. In Islamic schools, students begin with Qur'an memorization (Hifz), advance to tafsir (interpretation), Hadith studies, and eventually higher studies in jurisprudence, theology, and philosophy.⁴¹ Similarly, General Education employs sequential learning models, where students first acquire basic literacy and numeracy, then proceed to critical thinking, analytical reasoning, and advanced disciplinary knowledge.⁴² This sequential approach ensures mastery of fundamental concepts before students tackle complex ideas, emphasizing gradual cognitive and moral development in both systems.

4. Moral and Ethical Education

Both systems emphasize the importance of moral and ethical education, although the frameworks differ. Islamic education integrates ethics directly into the content of study, linking every subject to spiritual and moral values.⁴³ General Education, while secular, incorporates moral reasoning, civic education, and values education into the curriculum, emphasizing responsibility, justice, and ethical decision-making.⁴⁴ In both traditions, education is seen as a means of cultivating not just knowledge but also virtue, fostering individuals who can contribute positively to their communities.

5. Community Engagement and Socialization

Education in both traditions extends beyond the classroom to social participation and community engagement. Historically, madrasahs functioned as centers of community learning, where students learned communal ethics, cooperation, and civic responsibility alongside formal instruction.⁴⁵ Likewise, General Education prepares students for active participation in society through civic education, collaborative projects, and engagement with social institutions. Both systems recognize education as a socializing force, shaping individuals to function ethically and effectively within their communities.

6. Lifelong Learning

Both Islamic and General Education stress the continuity of learning throughout life. In Islam, seeking knowledge is an ongoing duty, and scholarship is pursued well into adulthood.⁴⁶ The tradition of *ijtihad* (independent reasoning) encourages continuous intellectual engagement and adaptation of knowledge to contemporary challenges. In General Education, lifelong learning is promoted through continuing education programs, professional development, and self-directed study. Both systems understand that education is not confined to formal schooling but is an enduring process of personal and intellectual growth.

7. Emphasis on Critical Thinking

While the methods differ, both educational systems cultivate analytical and reflective thinking. In Islamic education, methods like *Munazara* (debate), *tafsir*, and jurisprudential reasoning encourage students to question, analyze, and interpret knowledge within ethical frameworks.⁴⁷ General Education uses inquiry-based learning, problem-solving exercises, and research projects to develop critical thinking, analytical reasoning, and evaluative skills. Despite differing epistemologies, both traditions recognize the importance of developing students' reasoning abilities to navigate complex real-world situations.

8. Adaptability and Reform

Both traditions have demonstrated capacity for reform and adaptation. Islamic education has historically incorporated local knowledge, sciences, and philosophy, while General Education has evolved through progressive pedagogies, technological integration, and global educational trends.⁴⁸ Both systems recognize that education must respond to social, cultural, and technological changes to remain relevant.

Contrasts between Islamic and General Education

While Islamic and General Education share some overarching educational goals, they differ fundamentally in their epistemology, pedagogy, objectives, teacher-student relationships, curriculum structure, and social orientation. These contrasts are rooted in historical, philosophical, and cultural differences.

1. Epistemological Foundations

Islamic education is grounded in divine revelation (Naql) as the primary source of knowledge.⁴⁹ Knowledge is considered sacred and intrinsically linked with moral and spiritual development. Subjects are taught in light of Qur'anic principles, Sunnah, and scholarly consensus (Ijma'), ensuring that learning aligns with ethical and spiritual objectives.⁵⁰

General Education, however, treats knowledge as a product of human inquiry, derived from observation, reason, experimentation, and scientific methods.⁵¹ Knowledge is considered provisional and adaptable, subject to testing, critique, and revision. While ethics may be integrated, it is often contextual and secular rather than divinely mandated. This foundational distinction shapes teaching methods, curriculum, and learning outcomes.

2. Purpose of Education

The purpose of Islamic education is holistic: spiritual, ethical, and intellectual development. Education is a means of cultivating virtues, preparing students for righteous living, and fulfilling religious obligations.⁵² In contrast, General Education focuses on worldly competence, including critical thinking, problem-solving, career preparation, and civic responsibility.⁵³ While Islamic education emphasizes moral and spiritual outcomes, General Education emphasizes practical, intellectual, and societal outcomes.

3. Teacher-Student Relationship

In Islamic pedagogy, the teacher holds a position of moral authority, serving as both educator and ethical guide.⁵⁴ Students are expected to demonstrate humility, respect, and obedience, and learning is deeply relational, with mentorship central to intellectual and moral growth. The teacher is responsible not just for knowledge transmission but also for character formation.

In General Education, teachers function more as facilitators, mentors, and collaborators.⁵⁵ Students are encouraged to question, debate, and participate actively in knowledge construction. Authority is less hierarchical, and autonomy and critical thinking are prioritized. This reflects a contrast between obedience-oriented learning in Islamic systems and autonomy-oriented learning in General Education.

4. Pedagogical Methods

Islamic education emphasizes memorization, commentary (Sharh), debate (Munazara), apprenticeship, and ethical training.⁵⁶ For example, students may memorize the Qur'an and Hadith while simultaneously learning the principles of jurisprudence, ensuring integration of knowledge and ethical conduct. The pace of learning is often individualized, with mastery and moral integrity valued over speed.

General Education emphasizes active learning, critical thinking, experiential learning, collaborative projects, inquiry-based learning, and technology integration.⁵⁷ Students learn by exploring, experimenting, and analyzing, with success measured by problem-solving abilities, creativity, and application of knowledge rather than memorization alone.

5. Integration of Ethics

Ethics are inseparable from knowledge in Islamic education; all subjects, from mathematics to literature, are taught regarding moral and religious values.⁵⁸ General Education, while capable of fostering ethical awareness, usually treats morality as a distinct component through civics, values education, or ethics courses.⁵⁹ This results in a divergence between integrated moral pedagogy and separate moral instruction.

6. Assessment and Evaluation

Islamic education relies on comprehensive assessment: mastery of texts, understanding of principles, ethical conduct, and moral reliability. Certificates (Ijazah) signify both intellectual and ethical competence.⁶⁰ General Education relies on standardized tests, assignments, projects, and practical evaluations, focusing primarily on cognitive and performance outcomes.⁶¹

7. Flexibility and Adaptation

General Education is highly adaptive, evolving with societal, technological, and scientific developments.⁶² Islamic education, while historically adaptive, emphasizes preservation of sacred texts and classical methodologies, occasionally creating tension between tradition and contemporary demands. Reformers seek to integrate modern pedagogical approaches without compromising moral and spiritual objectives.⁶³

8. Social Orientation

Islamic education emphasizes community, morality, and spiritual responsibility within a religious framework, aiming to produce individuals aligned with divine and societal norms. General Education emphasizes civic engagement, economic productivity, social integration, and personal autonomy in a secular context.⁶⁴ While both systems aim to prepare socially responsible individuals, their motivations, ethical frameworks, and societal expectations differ.

9. Examples of Contrast in Practice

Curriculum Content: Islamic schools include Qur'anic studies, Fiqh (jurisprudence), and Hadith, whereas General schools focus on science, mathematics, and social sciences.

Learning Outcome: Islamic students are assessed on moral and spiritual development alongside knowledge mastery; General Education prioritizes cognitive and practical achievements.

Classroom Dynamics: Islamic classrooms emphasize respect, teacher guidance, and ethical mentorship; General classrooms encourage dialogue, debate, and critical inquiry.

Modern Adaptation: While secular schools readily incorporate digital technologies and interdisciplinary approaches, Islamic schools often face challenges balancing technology with traditional pedagogy and moral teaching.

Challenges and Recommendations for Integrating Islamic and General Education

The comparative study of Islamic and General Education reveals that while both systems share some overarching goals, they face distinct challenges when it comes to integration, modernization, and relevance in contemporary society. Identifying these challenges and proposing evidence-based recommendations is crucial for developing an educational framework that balances moral, intellectual, and practical development.

1. Challenges in Integration

a. Epistemological Differences

Islamic education prioritizes divine revelation as the ultimate source of knowledge, while General Education relies on human reason, empirical observation, and secular inquiry.⁶⁵ These differing epistemologies create tension in curriculum design, pedagogy, and assessment, making integration complex. For example, teaching science in Islamic schools requires careful alignment with religious principles, which may limit the scope of inquiry in some contexts.⁶⁶

b. Pedagogical Discrepancies

Islamic pedagogy often emphasizes memorization, commentary, and ethical formation, while General Education favors active, inquiry-based, and experiential learning.⁶⁷ Teachers trained in one system may struggle to adopt methods from the other, leading to inconsistencies in instructional quality and student engagement.

c. Assessment Conflicts

Assessment methods differ significantly. Islamic education evaluates knowledge mastery, ethical conduct, and memorization, while General Education emphasizes standardized testing, critical thinking, and applied problem-solving.⁶⁸ Harmonizing assessment criteria without compromising either system's objectives is a persistent challenge.

d. Technological Adaptation

General Education rapidly integrates digital technologies, online platforms, and multimedia resources, while many Islamic schools face constraints in adapting technology without undermining traditional learning values.⁶⁹ Limited technological infrastructure, teacher training, and concerns about content appropriateness hinder full integration.

e. Societal Perceptions and Expectations

In many societies, General Education is often viewed as more prestigious, modern, and employment-oriented, while Islamic education is perceived as traditional and religiously focused.⁷⁰ These perceptions create pressure on families and institutions, potentially marginalizing one system or the other and complicating efforts for cohesive educational planning.

2. Recommendations for Integration

a. Curriculum Harmonization

A balanced curriculum can integrate General and Islamic knowledge by emphasizing shared goals: critical thinking, ethical development, civic responsibility, and spiritual growth.⁷¹ Subjects such as science, mathematics, and social studies can be taught using ethical frameworks drawn from Islamic teachings, ensuring moral guidance alongside intellectual development.

b. Teacher Training and Professional Development

Educators should receive dual training in both systems. Teachers trained in Islamic pedagogy can learn modern teaching methods like project-based learning, technology integration, and collaborative teaching, while teachers in General Education can learn about ethical and spiritual education approaches.⁷² This cross-training ensures pedagogical flexibility and consistency.

c. Integrated Assessment Models

Developing assessment frameworks that evaluate cognitive, ethical, and practical competencies simultaneously can bridge gaps between the two systems. For example, students might be evaluated on problem-solving skills alongside moral reasoning and knowledge comprehension.⁷³ This approach encourages a holistic evaluation rather than focusing solely on intellectual performance.

d. Technological Integration with Values-Based Approaches

Islamic schools should adopt technology-enhanced learning, such as digital Qur'anic studies, interactive e-learning modules, and virtual laboratories, while ensuring content aligns with ethical and spiritual standards.⁷⁴ Collaboration with tech developers and curriculum specialists can facilitate innovation without compromising religious principles.

e. Policy and Institutional Support

Governments and educational authorities should develop policies that promote integration, provide funding for modern infrastructure in Islamic schools, and encourage collaborative research between secular and religious institutions.⁷⁵ Public awareness campaigns can help reduce societal bias and highlight the value of a holistic education that combines moral and intellectual development.

f. Encouraging Lifelong Learning and Critical Thinking

Both systems can benefit from fostering lifelong learning, inquiry, and research orientation. Islamic education can incorporate modern scientific and technological knowledge, while General Education can emphasize ethics, values, and spiritual reflection.⁷⁶

3. Examples of Successful Integration

Al-Azhar University, Egypt: Integrates Islamic theology with modern sciences, producing graduates proficient in both religious and secular knowledge.⁷⁷ International Islamic University, Malaysia: Offers courses blending Qur'anic studies with engineering, law, and social sciences, emphasizing ethical and intellectual growth.⁷⁸ Hybrid Schools in Indonesia and Pakistan: Some madrasahs integrate digital learning, English language proficiency, and STEM subjects while retaining Islamic curriculum components.⁷⁹

These models demonstrate that integration is feasible when curriculum planning, teacher training, and technological adoption are carefully aligned with both intellectual and moral objectives.

Conclusion

The comparative analysis of Islamic and General Education demonstrates that while both systems are shaped by distinct epistemologies, cultural contexts, and pedagogical philosophies, they share fundamental goals of holistic human development, moral formation, and social responsibility. Islamic education integrates spiritual, ethical, and intellectual dimensions, grounding knowledge in divine revelation and moral guidance.⁸⁰ General Education emphasizes human reason, empirical inquiry, and practical competencies aimed at preparing individuals for societal participation and professional life.⁸¹ Despite these differences, both systems converge on the importance of teacher mentorship, structured learning, discipline, ethical development, community engagement, and lifelong learning.⁸² Recognizing these similarities allows for constructive dialogue and potential integration, fostering educational frameworks that balance moral, intellectual, and practical development. The challenges of integration, epistemological differences, pedagogical disparities, assessment conflicts, technological adaptation, and societal perceptions can be addressed through curriculum harmonization, dual teacher training, integrated assessment models, technology-enhanced ethical learning, and supportive educational policies.⁸³ Examples from Al-Azhar University, International Islamic University Malaysia, and hybrid educational institutions in Indonesia and Pakistan illustrate that effective integration is feasible when modern pedagogical methods are adapted to respect ethical and spiritual objectives.⁸⁴ In conclusion, the study highlights that a balanced, integrated approach combining the strengths of both Islamic and General Education can produce individuals who are intellectually competent, morally grounded, socially responsible, and capable of navigating the complexities of the modern world. Achieving this requires collaboration among educators, policymakers, and communities, ensuring that education remains a transformative force that nurtures both mind and character.⁸⁵

Notes and References

- ¹ J. Mark Halstead, *An Islamic Concept of Education, Comparative Education 40*, vol. 4 (UK: Light Press, 2004), p. 517–529.
- ² John Dewey, *Experience and Education* (New York: Macmillan, 1938), p.92.
- ³ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam* (Kuala Lumpur: International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization, 1991), p. 43.
- ⁴ Abdullah Sahin, *New Directions in Islamic Education: Pedagogy and Identity Formation* (Markfield: Kube Publishing, 2018), p.33.
- ⁵ Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *The Importance of Knowledge in Islam* (Kuala Lumpur: IAIS Malaysia, 2012), p. 15.
- ⁶ George Makdisi, *The Rise of Colleges: Institutions of Learning in Islam and the West* (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1981), p.55.
- ⁷ Jonathan Berkey, *The Transmission of Knowledge in Medieval Cairo: A Social History of Islamic Education* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1992), p.42.
- ⁸ Ibn Khaldun, *The Muqaddimah: An Introduction to History*, trans. Franz Rosenthal (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1967), p. 76.
- ⁹ Seyyed Hossein Nasr, *Science and Civilization in Islam* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1968), p. 31.
- ¹⁰ Abdullah Sahin, *New Directions in Islamic Education: Pedagogy and Identity Formation* (Markfield: Kube Publishing, 2018), p. 72–85.
- ¹¹ Werner Jaeger, *Paideia: The Ideals of Greek Culture* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1945), p.86.
- ¹² Steven P. Marrone, *The Light of Thy Countenance: Science and Knowledge of God in the Thirteenth Century* (Leiden: Brill, 2001), p.54.
- ¹³ John Locke, *Some Thoughts Concerning Education* (London: A. and J. Churchill, 1693), p.87.
- ¹⁴ John Dewey, *Democracy and Education* (New York: Macmillan, 1916), p.12.
- ¹⁵ Philip H. Phenix, *Education and the Common Good: A Moral Philosophy of the Curriculum* (New York: Harper & Row, 1961), p. 29.
- ¹⁶ Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1982), p. 45.
- ¹⁷ Muhammad Qutb, *Islamic Education: Its Principles and Aims* (Beirut: Dar al-Shuruq, 1980), p. 21.

-
- ¹⁸ Jonathan Berkey, *The Transmission of Knowledge in Medieval Cairo* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1992), p. 37–42.
- ¹⁹ George Makdisi, *The Rise of Colleges: Institutions of Learning in Islam and the West* (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1981), p. 98–112.
- ²⁰ Abdul Latif Tibawi, *Islamic Education: Its Traditions and Modernization* (London: Luzac, 1972), p. 64.
- ²¹ Abu Hamid Al-Ghazali, *Ihya' 'Ulum al-Din* (Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif, 1937), p. 61.
- ²² Oliver Leaman, *Averroes and His Philosophy* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), p. 53.
- ²³ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam*, p. 35–38.
- ²⁴ Abdullah Sahin, *New Directions in Islamic Education: Pedagogy and Identity Formation* (Markfield: Kube Publishing, 2018), p. 105–118.
- ²⁵ John Dewey, *Democracy and Education* (New York: Macmillan, 1916), p. 24–35.
- ²⁶ Jean Piaget, *The Psychology of Intelligence* (London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1950), p. 12–20.
- ²⁷ Lawrence A. Cremin, *The Transformation of the School: Progressivism in American Education, 1876–1957* (New York: Knopf, 1961), p. 45–50.
- ²⁸ Jean-Jacques Rousseau, *Emile, or On Education*, trans. Allan Bloom (New York: Basic Books, 1979), p. 67–85.
- ²⁹ Lev Vygotsky, *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1978), p. 88–92.
- ³⁰ Philip H. Phenix, *Education and the Common Good: A Moral Philosophy of the Curriculum* (New York: Harper & Row, 1961), p. 55–60.
- ³¹ James Arthur and Mark Kristjánsson, *Education for Virtue: The Theory and Practice of Moral Education* (London: Routledge, 2009), p. 102–110.
- ³² Nel Noddings, *Caring: A Feminine Approach to Ethics and Moral Education* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1984), p. 75–80.
- ³³ Hilda Taba, *Curriculum Development: Theory and Practice* (New York: Harcourt Brace, 1962), p. 45–60.
- ³⁴ Yong Zhao, *Catching Up or Leading the Way: American Education in the Age of Globalization* (Alexandria, VA: ASCD, 2009), p. 112–118.
- ³⁵ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam*, p. 36–38.
- ³⁶ Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition*, p. 52.
- ³⁷ John Dewey, *Democracy and Education* (New York: Macmillan, 1916), p. 45–50.
- ³⁸ George Makdisi, *The Rise of Colleges: Institutions of Learning in Islam and the West* (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1981), p. 102–105.
- ³⁹ Nel Noddings, *Caring: A Feminine Approach to Ethics and Moral Education* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1984), p. 76–78.
- ⁴⁰ Jonathan Berkey, *The Transmission of Knowledge in Medieval Cairo* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1992), p. 38–42.
- ⁴¹ Abdul Latif Tibawi, *Islamic Education: Its Traditions and Modernization* (London: Luzac, 1972), p. 64–67.
- ⁴² Hilda Taba, *Curriculum Development: Theory and Practice* (New York: Harcourt Brace, 1962), p. 48–55.
- ⁴³ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam*, p. 40–45.
- ⁴⁴ James Arthur and Mark Kristjánsson, *Education for Virtue: The Theory and Practice of Moral Education* (London: Routledge, 2009), p. 105–110.
- ⁴⁵ Jonathan Berkey, *The Transmission of Knowledge in Medieval Cairo*, p. 42–45.
- ⁴⁶ Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *The Importance of Knowledge in Islam* (Kuala Lumpur: IAIS Malaysia, 2012), p. 18–20.
- ⁴⁷ Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity*, p. 55–57.
- ⁴⁸ Abdullah Sahin, *New Directions in Islamic Education: Pedagogy and Identity Formation* (Markfield: Kube Publishing, 2018), p. 105–118.
- ⁴⁹ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam*, p. 36–38.
- ⁵⁰ Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition*, p. 52.
- ⁵¹ John Dewey, *Democracy and Education* (New York: Macmillan, 1916), p. 45–50.
- ⁵² Muhammad Qutb, *Islamic Education: Its Principles and Aims* (Beirut: Dar al-Shuruq, 1980), p. 21–25.
- ⁵³ Hilda Taba, *Curriculum Development: Theory and Practice*, p. 48–55.
- ⁵⁴ George Makdisi, *The Rise of Colleges: Institutions of Learning in Islam and the West* (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1981), p. 102–105.

-
- ⁵⁵ Nel Noddings, *Caring: A Feminine Approach to Ethics and Moral Education* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1984), p. 76–78.
- ⁵⁶ Jonathan Berkey, *The Transmission of Knowledge in Medieval Cairo* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1992), p. 38–42.
- ⁵⁷ Jean Piaget, *The Psychology of Intelligence* (London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1950), p. 12–20.
- ⁵⁸ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam*, p. 40–45.
- ⁵⁹ James Arthur and Mark Kristjánsson, *Education for Virtue: The Theory and Practice of Moral Education* (London: Routledge, 2009), p. 105–110.
- ⁶⁰ Abdul Latif Tibawi, *Islamic Education: Its Traditions and Modernization* (London: Luzac, 1972), p. 64–67.
- ⁶¹ Philip H. Phenix, *Education and the Common Good: A Moral Philosophy of the Curriculum* (New York: Harper & Row, 1961), p. 55–60.
- ⁶² Yong Zhao, *Catching Up or Leading the Way: American Education in the Age of Globalization* (Alexandria, VA: ASCD, 2009), p. 112–118.
- ⁶³ Abdullah Sahin, *New Directions in Islamic Education: Pedagogy and Identity Formation* (Markfield: Kube Publishing, 2018), p. 105–118.
- ⁶⁴ Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity*, 55–57.
- ⁶⁵ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam*, p. 36–38.
- ⁶⁶ Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition*. p. 52.
- ⁶⁷ Jonathan Berkey, *The Transmission of Knowledge in Medieval Cairo* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1992), p. 38–42.
- ⁶⁸ Philip H. Phenix, *Education and the Common Good: A Moral Philosophy of the Curriculum* (New York: Harper & Row, 1961), p. 55–60.
- ⁶⁹ Abdullah Sahin, *New Directions in Islamic Education: Pedagogy and Identity Formation*. p. 105–118.
- ⁷⁰ Muhammad Qutb, *Islamic Education: Its Principles and Aims*. p. 21–25.
- ⁷¹ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam*, p. 40–45.
- ⁷² Nel Noddings, *Caring: A Feminine Approach to Ethics and Moral Education* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1984), p. 76–78.
- ⁷³ James Arthur and Mark Kristjánsson, *Education for Virtue: The Theory and Practice of Moral Education* (London: Routledge, 2009), p. 105–110.
- ⁷⁴ Abdullah Sahin, *New Directions in Islamic Education*, p. 115–120.
- ⁷⁵ Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity*, 55–57.
- ⁷⁶ Hilda Taba, *Curriculum Development: Theory and Practice*, p. 48–55.
- ⁷⁷ Ahmad S. Dallal, *Al-Azhar and Modern Sciences: Education in Historical Perspective* (Cairo: Al-Azhar Publications, 2005), p. 33–40.
- ⁷⁸ International Islamic University Malaysia, *Academic Prospectus* (Kuala Lumpur: IIUM Publications, 2020), p. 12–25.
- ⁷⁹ Robert W. Hefner, *Schooling Islam: The Culture and Politics of Modern Muslim Education* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009), p. 112–120.
- ⁸⁰ Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *The Concept of Education in Islam*, p. 36–38.
- ⁸¹ John Dewey, *Democracy and Education* (New York: Macmillan, 1916), p. 45–50.
- ⁸² Jonathan Berkey, *The Transmission of Knowledge in Medieval Cairo* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1992), p. 38–42.
- ⁸³ Abdullah Sahin, *New Directions in Islamic Education: Pedagogy and Identity Formation*. p. 105–118.
- ⁸⁴ Ahmad S. Dallal, *Al-Azhar and Modern Sciences: Education in Historical Perspective* (Cairo: Al-Azhar Publications, 2005), p. 33–40.
- ⁸⁵ Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition*. p. 52.